# CONPORMATIONAL ANALYSIS OF BENZOANKLLATED NINK-MEMBERKD RINGS, PART 1. 1,4,5,7-TETRAHYDRO-3H-2,6-BENZODITHIONIN DERIVATIVES

Barbara RYS<sup>a, \*</sup>, Helmut DUDDECK<sup>b</sup> and Monika HIEGEMANN<sup>b</sup>

**a Department of Organic Chemistry, Jagiellonian University,** Karasia 3, PL-30060 Krakow, Poland, b Ruhr-Universitat Bochum, Fakultät für Chemie, Postfach 102148, D-4630 Bochum 1, FR Germany

*(Received in Germany 29 October* 1990)

Abstract: The NMR spectra of compounds 1-5, recorded at different temperatures, are discussed and interpreted in terms **of** conformational eguilibrium. Ground state conformations are found to be chiral, ring inversion barriers are surprisingly high (ca 48 kJ/mol).



Favini et al. were the first to investigate the conformational behaviour of unsaturated nine-membered rings'. From MM2 force-field calculations of cis-cyclononene<sup>2</sup> ten energy minima have been obtained, and the asymmetrical one already studied by Favinil was found to be the conformation with the lowest energy. It is well-known that introduction of an endocyclic double bond or benxanellation to a saturated medium-sized ring system reduces the conformational flexibility (for cyclononane sixteen symmetrical conformations have been proposed3 in contrast to the abovementioned ten for cyclononene) and increases the ring inversion barriers<sup>4,5</sup>. The present paper describes the temperature-dependent <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 1,4,5,7-tetrahydro-3H-2,6\_benzodithionin derivatives l-5 which have been prepared by coupling 1,2-bis(mercaptomethyl)benzene with 1,1bis(halogenomethyl)alkanes. The spectra are discussed in terms of groundstate conformations and the ring inversion barrier.

1417

## Results and Discussion

The highfield  $1H$  and  $13C$  NMR spectra of the compounds 1, 2 and 5 were recorded at temperatures between 185 and 300 *K.* All chemical shift values are collected in the Tables 1 and 2.

The room-temperature  $1$ H NMR spectra of all compounds show one singlet for all benzylic  $(H-1/7)$  and one for all aliphatic ring protons  $(H-3/5)$ . The aromatic proton signals appear as a AA'BB' spin-system, and the protons of the substituents at C-4 give one singlet for 1  $(\alpha, \alpha')$ , 3 and 4 and multiplets for 2 and 5. The effects of decreasing the sample temperature are shown for 1 in Fig. 1. The H-117 and H-3/5 peaks broaden, and at 193 K they are split into eight separate signals with equal intensities, one for each proton. The H-l and **H-l** signals give two AK spin-systems, one consisting of the outer doublets ( $\delta$  = 4.21 and 3.53, <sup>2</sup>J=13.5 Hz), the inner doublets  $(8 = 4.01$  and  $3.70$ ,  $2J=14.7$  *Hz*) *belong* to the other. Thus, these two methylene groups are apparently in similar chemical environments, but within each CH<sub>2</sub> group the two hydrogens are rather different, probably due to different orientations with respect to the benzene ring. The H-3 and H-5 signals produce two well-separated AB spin-systems ( $\delta$  = 2.86 and 2.74,  $2J=14.9$  Hz) and (8 = 2.00 and 1.82,  $2J=14.6$ Hz). Here the methylene groups are in rather different chemical environments, but within each groups the hydrogens are similar. The methyl groups  $(\alpha, \alpha')$  also give two separate 1H signals at 195 K, and their chemical shift difference is 0.2 ppm. On the whole, the spectral appearance is that *of* a single asynunetrical conformer, more precisely, a racemic mixture thereof, and not of an equimolar mixture of two or even more isomers, as one could anticipate.

The 13C NME spectra of 1 (Fig. 1) reveal analogous temperature-dependent effects. At room temperature the spectrum consists only of one aliphatic C (4), two CH<sub>2</sub> (3/5 and 1/7), one CH<sub>3</sub> ( $\alpha, \alpha'$ ) and three aromatic signals, one C (8/9) and two CH (lo/13 and 11/12). The assignment of the two methylene carbon signals is proven by an NOE-difference experiment (establishing the spatial proximity between H-3/5 and the methyl protons) and a subsequent HC COSY spectrum correlating the proton and carbon peaks. Slight line-broading of the C-3/5 signal is visible already at room temperature. At 193 K all carbon signals (except those of C-4 and C-11/12) are split into two. The fact that the C-4 signal does not show any change is another indication that the ground state conformations are apparently enantiomers. It is interesting to inspect the magnitudes of carbon signal splittings: methyl signals 0.2 ppm; C-l/C-7 4.1 ppm, C-8/C-9 7.1 PPm and C-3/C-5 10.2 ppm. Obviously, these differences can be rationalized in

terms of existing **or** absent *a-gauche-fragments so* that we conclude that there is one extra-gauche-fragment for *one* single carbon within each pair of atoms *C-l/C-7, C-3/C-5* and C-8/C-9, whereas there is none for the methyls.

Fig. 1: NMR spectra of 1 at different temperatures; left: <sup>1</sup>H ( **ed** by "+" belongs to acetone-ds, "x" to water.), right 1°C; see footnotes to tables. (signal markfor solvents



The temperature-dependent NMR spectra **of** 2 and 5 with four- and fivemembered Spiro-rings, respectively, are analogous to those of 1 and allow the same interpretation. We assume that corresponding results would emerge for 3 and 4.

Fig. 2: Stereoprojection of 1



All above structure arguments deduced from the NMR spectra can be combined to establish the chiral ground state conformations of 1; Fig. 2 shows one of the enantiomers. It should be noted that this structure was first estimated from a Dreiding model and then subjected to energy minimization by MM2 calculations. Preliminary nine-membered ring endocyclic torsional angles (averaged from three calculations using different starting geometries; deviations:  $1.6^{\circ}$  or less) are  $1-2-3-4: -112.3^{\circ}$ ,  $2-3-4-5:$ +60.9", 3-4-5-6: +74.20, 4-5-6-7: -87.10, 5-6-7-8: -50.80, **6-7-8-9:**  t108.50, **7-8-9-I:** t3.70 **, 8-9-I-2: -94.70** and 9-l-2-3: t95.70. A refinement of force-field calculations for this and other conformers is currently under investigation $6$ .

In this conformer C-5 has two gauche-partners (C-8 and S-2), whereas there is one for  $C-3$  ( $S-6$ ).  $C-7$  has one such gauche-partner ( $C-4$ ), but there is none for  $C-1$ .  $C-8$  has one gauche-partner  $(C-5)$ , but there is none for C-9. Finally, no gauche-fragment exists involving any of the methyl groups except those with one gauche-sulfur atom for each.

The conformational interconversion barrier of 1 is 47.9 (±1) kJ/mol (estimated718 from the C-117 and C-3/5 signals for coalescence **tempera**tures 243 K and 263 **K,** respectively). The barriers in the spiro-derivatives 2 and 5 are similar to that in 1. These high barriers are surprising, since it has been reported that the 1H signals of the parent bicyclic compound (without substituents of C-4) do not show any coalescence even on cooling down to 173 K9, i.e. the barrier in this compound must be significantly *lower* than 40 kJ/mol. Although we are not able to identify the transition state for the ring interconversion (we suspect, it might be a complex sequence of several conformers), it is obvious that severe steric congestion of the bicyclic ring system and the substituents at C-4 during ring inversion is responsible for the high barrier.

## **Experimental Part**

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-400 spectrometer (400.1 MHz <sup>1</sup>H and  $100.6$  MHz  $13C$ ) using a 5 mm dual probe head. The samples were 0.4 molar in acetone-d6 for 1, 2 and 5 and in CDCl3 for 3 and 4. Chemical shifts were referenced to solvent signals;  $1H:$  acetone-ds,  $\delta = 2.04$  and CHCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$  $= 7.24$ ; <sup>13</sup>C: central peaks of acetone-do  $\delta = 29.8$  and CDCl<sub>3</sub>  $\delta = 77.0$ . For DEPT, NOE-difference and HC COSY experiments standard Bruker software was used. IR spectra were obtained from a IR-M80 Specord (Zeiss Jena) spectrophotometer in nujol or HCB mulls, mass spectra from a Varian CH7 spectrometer (70 eV). Melting points were determined on a Boetius micro heating table and are therefore corrected. Elemental analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 240 instrument.

Starting materials: ditosylate of  $2,2$ -bis(hydroxymethyl)propane<sup>10</sup>,  $1,1$ bis(iodomethyl)cyclobutane<sup>11</sup>, 3,3-bis(iodomethyl)oxetane<sup>12</sup>, 3,3-bis(iodomethyl)thietane<sup>13</sup> and 1,1-bis(bromomethyl)cyclopentane<sup>11</sup> were obtained according to the described procedures.

General synthetic procedure for the preparation of  $1 - 5$ :

1,2-bis(mercaptomethyl)benzene (2 mmol) was added to sodium ethoxide (4 mmol) in 250 ml of abs. EtOH, and the mixture was stirred and refluxed for 0.5 h under argon atmosphere. A solution of the appropriate 1,1-bis(halogenomethyl)alkane (2 mmol) in abs. EtOH was added dropwise over a period of 1 h. Stirring and refluxing was continued for 3 h, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and 50 ml CC14 was added to the residue. Insoluble salts were separated by filtration, and the filtrate was washed with 10% aqueous NaOH, then with water and dried over MgSO4. After removing the solvent the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using CClr as eluent. Compounds were purified by crystallization from HeOH and sublimation *in vacua.* 

**1:** Yield: 30%. M.p. 74-760. IR: 3045, 3002, 2960, 2944, 2904, 1488, 1448, 896, 768, 672 cm-l. MS m/z (rel. intensity): 238 (Mt., 40%), 135  $(C_8 H_7 S^*$ , 82%), 134  $(C_5 H_1 o S_2^*$ , 48%), 104  $(C_8 H_8^*$ , 100%)<sup>14</sup>. Anal. Calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 65.49; H, 7.61. Found: C, 65.62; H, 7.43.

2: Yield: 38%. H.p. 85-860. IR: 3040, 3016, 2976, 2960, 2928, 1488, 1460, 832, 768, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS m/z (rel. intensity): 250 (M<sup>+</sup>·, 45%), 146  $(C_6H_1oS_2^*$ , 32%), 135  $(C_6H_7S, 100%$ , 104  $(C_6H_8^*$ , 98%). Anal. Calcd. for C14H18S2 : C, 67.15; ii, 7.24. Found: C, 67.42; **H,** 7.36.

3: Yield: 43%. M.p. 141-142°. IR: 3032, 3000, 2928, 2912, 2864, 1488, 1448, 976, 840, 784, 664 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS m/z (rel. intensity): 252 (M<sup>+</sup>·, 30%), 149 (CsH<sub>9</sub>OS<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 3%), 135 (CsH<sub>7</sub>S, 58%), 104 (CsH<sub>8</sub><sup>+</sup>, 100%). Anal. Calcd. for  $C_1 3 H_1 60 S_2$ : C, 61.87; H, 6.40. Found: C, 61.61; H, 6.29.

4: Yield: 41%. M.p. 111-113°. IR: 3056, 3008, 2968, 2928, 2848, 1488, 1460, 896, 776, 668 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS m/z (rel. intensity): 268 (M<sup>+</sup>·, 35%), 164  $(C_5H_8S_3^*, 3\%)$ , 135  $(C_8H_7S, 52\%)$ , 104  $(C_8H_8^*, 100\%)$ . Anal. Calcd. for Cl3Hl6S3: C, 58.16; **H,** 6.01. Found: C, 58.27; H, 6.19.

5: Yield: 35%. M.p. 95-960. IR: 3045, 3010, 2952, 2864, 1488, 1448, 852, 792, 656 cm-l. **MS** m/z (rel. intensity): 264 **(M+.,** 42%), 160  $(C_7H_12S_2^*$ , 61%), 135 (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>7</sub>S, 95%), 104 (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub><sup>+</sup>, 100%). Anal. Calcd. for ClSH2OS2: **C,** 68.13; H, 7.62. Found: C, 67.87; H, 7.90.



Table 1: 1H chemical shifts **of** 1 - 5.

Recorded at 400.1 MHz; solvents: 1, 2 and 5: acetone-d6,  $3$  and  $4$ : CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Values connected by "/" belong to AB-or AX-pairs.

Already broadened at this temperature due to coalescence.



Table 2:  $13C$  chemical shifts of  $1 - 5^a$ , b

- <sup>l</sup>Recorded at 100.6 MHz; solvents: 1, 2 and 5: acetone-ds, 3 and 4: CDC13.
- $b$  The signals of C-3/5 and C-8/9 are already broadened at room temperature due to coalescence.
- c In the low-temperature spectra the top value corresponds to the first carbon (C-l, C-3, C-8, respectively), the bottom values to the second  $(C-7, C-5, C-9, respectively)$ . Analogous assignments are not possible for the remaining signal pairs  $(C-\alpha/\alpha'$ ,  $C-\beta/\beta'$ ,  $C-10/13$  and  $C-11/12$ ).

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was done in the frame of the cooperation program between the Jagiellonian University, Krakow, and the Ruhr-Universitat Bochum. Financial support from the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

## B. Rys et al.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) Favini, G.; Buemi, 0.; Raimondi, M. *J. Mol.* Struct. 1968, 2, 131; Buemi, 0.; Favini, G.; Zuccarello, F. *ibid.* **1970, 5,** 101; Zuccarello, F.; Buemi, G.; Favini, 0. *ibid. 1973, 18, 295.*
- *(2)* Furusaki, A.; Katsuragi, S.; Suehiro, K.; Matsumoto, T. Bull. *Chem. Sot. Jap. 1985, 58, 803.*
- *(3)* Evans, D. G.; Boeyens, J. C. A. *Acta* Cryst. 1990, *B46, 524.*
- *(4)* Lai, Y.-H.; Nakamura, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988,** *53, 2360.*
- *(5)* Kleinpeter, E.; Hartmann, J.; Schroth, W. *Magn. Reson. Chem.*  **1990,** *28, 628.*
- *(6)* Rys, B.; Duddeck, H., in preparation.
- (7) Sandstrom, J. *Endeavour* **1974,** *XXXIII, 111;* Sandstrom, J. *Dynamic NMR Spectroscopy, Academic Press,* London (1982).
- (8) Rys, B.; Duddeck, H. *Tetrahedron* **1985,** 41, 889.
- (9) Mitchell, R. H.; Boekelheide, V. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*  **1969,** *6, 981.*
- (10) Shortrige, R. W.; Craig, R. A.; Greenlee, K. W.; Derfer, J. M.; Boord, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 946.
- (11) Schubert, W. M.; Leahy, Jr., S. M. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1957, 79,** *381.*
- (12) Farthing, A. C. *J. Chem. Sot. [London]* 1955, *3648 0;* Campbell, T. W. *J. Org. Chem.* 1957, *22, 1029.*
- *(13)* Bladon, P.; Owen, L. N. *J. Chem. Sot. [London]* 1950, *585.*
- *(14)* The fragmentation pattern of the parent bicyclic compound (Allen, D. W.; Braunton, P. N.; Millar, I. T.; Tebby, J. C. *J. Chem. Sot. (C)* 1971, 3454) is compatible to those of 1 - 5.